

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/920,222	08/01/2001		Robert B. Davies	4151-A4	5846	
7590 10/15/2003				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert A. Par	sons		LEE, EUGENE			
PARSONS & O	GOLTRY	,				
Suite 260				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
340 East Palm Lane				2815		
Phoenix, AZ 85004				DATE MAIL ED. 10/15/200	•	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/920,222	DAVIES, ROBERT	В					
nance, y neuch	Examin r	Art Unit						
	Eugene Lee	2815						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of this application and the control of the cont	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the					
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.					
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,28-33 and 37-51</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:		1 X5 hurs	~ /EDT					
		PRIMARY EXA	KERT MINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitation "electroplated" is a method limitation that would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art as a method of applying a conductive material to a trench. The only structural limitation that it imparts is that a conductive material is formed inside a trench (which Kendall clearly discloses). Regarding argument on page 18, this is found not persuasive since there is definitely an inductance formed in the core material 12 and it is bound by the dielectric sidewalls 14. Regarding argument on bottom of page 18, this is found not persuasive since the core material 12 is an inductance which is a passive component.